

# JOURNAL OF EXCLUSION STUDIES

1. *Occupation and Stigma of Women Prostitution: Are They Not Manual Scavenger*  
*K.M. Gyanakshi* | 125-140
2. *Challenges Faced by Migrant Women in Informal Sector - A Review*  
*Rumal Deb and Anirika* | 141-147
3. *Social and Economic Exclusion among Social Groups in India*  
*Drignay Kumar* | 148-163
4. *Social Exclusion of the Tale of Manipur: A Historical Study*  
*Wahidul Alam* | 162-170
5. *Contradiction and Change in the Carpet Industry of Bhadohi*  
*Ashya Karim* | 171-178
6. *Unilateral Divorce in Muslim with Special Reference to Countries*  
*Jahid Masum* | 180-184
7. *SNGs, Socio-Economic Status and Women Empowerment*  
*Md. Shafiqul Haque* | 185-200
8. *A Sociolinguistic Perspective on Linguistic Exclusion, Social Segregation and Status of African-American Vernacular English*  
*Muhammad Saad and Olu Peju* | 201-214



## Contents

---

Volume 6

Number 2

August 2016

---

1. Occupation and Dignity of Unseen Population: Yes They Are  
Manual Scavenger 125-140  
*K.M. Ziyauddin*
2. Challenges Faced by Migrant Women in Informal Sector –  
A Review 141-147  
*Roumi Deb and Monika*
3. Social and Economic Exclusion among Social Groups in India 148-161  
*Digvijay Kumar*
4. Social Exclusion of the Lois of Manipur: A Historical Study 162-170  
*Yaiphaba Ningthoujam*
5. Contradiction and Change in the Carpet Industry of Bhadohi 171-179  
*Asfiya Karimi*
6. Unilateral Divorce in Muslim with Special Reference to  
Countries 180-191  
*Juhi Naseem*
7. SHGs, Socio-Economic Status and Women Empowerment 192-204  
*Md. Shahid Raza*
8. A Sociolinguistic Perspective on Linguistic Exclusion, Racial  
Segregation and Status of African–American Vernacular  
English 205-214  
*Manjri Suman and Om Prakash*

## Disability and Popular Common Sense in India: Noun versus Adjective

Mohd Shahid\*, Md. Shahid Raza and Md. Aftab Alam

*Department of Social Work, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad, India*

Reflecting through the Indian experiences, a brief attempt is made to explore how disability as a noun takes shape in popular common sense "call names" (adjectives) and how does the popular common sense legitimise and normalise the oppressive language and the oppressed reality of the persons with disabilities? In the Indian context, the contempt for persons with disabilities is writ large in the language used to address them. However, to be conscious of this contempt, one needs to be conscious of the hegemonic nature of the popular common sense which normalises an oppressive reality as a natural social reality. The discussion on disability and popular common sense in India through the framework of "noun vs. adjective" might be helpful in gauging the challenges to the disability rights movement and the socio-cultural specificities to be reckon with for any meaningful intervention in the field of disability.

**Keywords:** common sense; disability; India; language; noun; oppression; persons with disabilities

There is widespread myth about *physical perfection*, which is actually attained by no one but desired by all (Anonymous).

### Introduction

Most discussions of disability begin with a laundry list of disabling conditions but while such a list is necessary for an understanding of disability, limiting ourselves to thinking in medical or quasi-medical terms limits our understanding: for disability is largely a social construct (Finger, 1983). In the mid-seventies, a new way of thinking about disability emerged from the disabled people's civil rights movement called the social model of disability (Oliver, 1986). The social model redefined disability as pertaining to the disabling effect of society, rather than the functioning of people's minds, bodies and senses (Barnes, 1991). It does not deny the problem of disability but locates it squarely within society (Oliver, 1996). Coles (2001) suggests an understanding of the social model in that it does not label unlike the individual and medical model wherein descriptions tend to emphasise deficits, incompetencies and the things which people cannot do (p. 506). To these scholars, disability is seen as inherently social phenomena, and in this "social constructionist" view, disability is the oppressive socialisation of given form of physiological difference (impairment) (Gleeson, 1996; Oliver, 1990).

Shapiro, Margolis, and Anderson (1990) questioned that in recent years much has been written about the educational implications of identifying and eliminating sexist

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: [hod.msw@manuu.ac.in](mailto:hod.msw@manuu.ac.in)